App.F.IX-4]

1923-4

File No. 3/23

Previous References.

CB 3-23-1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 23

Serial Nos. 44, 45

CB

Later references.

CB. 3-23-57, 58, 59

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 44, 45

Part B for Nov. 1923

SUBJECT

Representations of Messrs. S. Reuben, S. V. Srinarasimha Sastry & C. S. Rama Rao re: their emoluments

To Mr. S. Reuben
S. V. Srinarasimha Sastry
Dis 1190-1 Dt 14/11/23
States that G.O. re no reason to reconsider the orders already passed.

To Mr. C. S. Ramarao
Registrar, G.A.S.
Dis. 1192 Dt 14/11/23
States that G.O. re no reason to review the pay fixed for him in G.O. Dt 1-5-23.

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

I beg to submit this representation about my pay in the revised scale of non-civilian officers of the Secretariat for kind consideration of the Chief Secretary.

In G.O. No. G.5521-27/G.M.35-27-I dated 13th October 1917. the posts of Registrar, G.R.S. and one Assistant Secretary to Govt. (which had been included in the cadre of Assistant Commissioners) were thrown open to the members of the ministerial establishment of the Secretariat. I was accordingly appointed Registrar, sub-pro-tem from 20th December 1918. The posts of Registrar and Assistant Secretary to Government referred to above were included in the cadre of Assistant Commissioners till 27th Septr. 1921, when under G.O.No.O.788 95/Cash 29-21-1 dated 27th Septr. 1921 these two posts were excluded from the Civil Service cadre. My name was accordingly removed from the Civil Service cadre and from 27th Septr. 1921 I was shown as a non-civilian Asst. Secretary or Registrar.

W

Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied or slips pasted on this form.

G. R. S. 111—2|5.

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.

F

In G.O.No.3498/C.B. 3-23-23 dated 1st May 1924 my pay has been fixed at Rs.275 from 1st July 1923 and at Rs.300/- from 1st January 1924.] I understand that in fixing my pay as above credit has been given to my service only from the 27th September 1921 -- the date from which the posts of Registrar and one Asst. Secretary to Govt. were excluded from the Civil Service cadre Thus there has been no increase whatever to my salary of Rs.250 + Rs.50 D.A., which I was drawing for over 4½ years from 20th Decr. 1918 to 1st July 1923.

In this connection I beg to submit that the Superintendents of the Comptroller's Office appointed to act as Asst. Comptrollers were being allowed to count even their acting service for increments in the grade Rs.250-50/3-450. As under the Govt. Order dated 13th Octr. 1917, my appointment as sub-pro-tem Registrar from 20th Decr.

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

(3

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.

1918 was also a promotion from the post of Deputy Registrar, which I then held and as I was transferred to the cadre of non-civilian officers I beg to request that my case also be treated in the same way as that of a non-civilian Asst. Comptroller and that I may be allowed to count my service for increment from 20th December 1918 instead of from 27th September 1921 as ordered in the Govt Order dated 1st May 1924 and also permitted to draw the arrears of increment due to me according to the rules in force for granting increments to non-civilian Assistant Comptrollers.

C. Ramakrishnan
Registrar Coop Societies
12.1.25

(Chief Secretary)

In reference to the ~~correspondence~~ as to what the Dewan has decided in regard to appeal with the request that this point may be considered for increments of the Registrar the appointment was Rs 250-50-450 in a progressive scale. I think

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Mr Rémedais pay should be
calculated on 5 years: viz.
Nov^r and I think I should be
entitled to an increment
of £37 for 3 years remi.
Mr Lang be addressed
to say that the Ms.
alluded to in which a
Mr Lang about which a
representation was made to me
Comptr 10/11

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

C.B. File No. 3 of 1925

Serial No

Extract from the notes in C.B.File No.3 of 23 (D.No.3336) re: salary of acting and sub-pro-tem Assistant Commissioners.

"Mr.C.E.Rama Rao has been allowed to count his service as acting Registrar, G.R.S. and acting Assistant Secretary to Government from 27th September 1921, the date on which this appointment was reserved to the subordinate ranks of the Secretariat. Prior to that date, the appointment had been included in the cadre of Assistant Commissioners and Mr.C.E.Rama Rao's name was also shown in the list of acting ~~decided to re-~~ has been decided to reckon the acting service of Assistant Commissioners for increment under the annual scale, the service put in by Mr.C.E.Rama Rao from 20th December 1918 to 26th September 1921 has also to be taken into account for fixing his pay on 1st July 1923. If this is done his salary will be Rs 325 from 1-7-1923 and Rs 350 from 1st March 1924 instead of Rs 275 from 1-7-1923 and Rs 300/- on 1st January 1924 as fixed under G.O.No.349 C.B.3-23-23 dated 1st May 1924. It is for orders if his pay may be revised as shown (in the statement)."

This may be dealt with separately as his case has already been decided once.

M.N.A 3.3.25

Civil Service cadre from 27th Sept^r ... have been issued (vide ... of G.O.No.2750-53/C.B.3-23-26 dt 19.6.25 (Marked below)

... this question ... allowing Mr.C.E.Rama Rao

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

6)

G.R.S. III-2]

WD 2119—GPB—10,000—27-4-25

General and Revenue Secretariat.

C.B. File No. 3 of 1923

Serial No.

CB 351

for the purpose of increment
to count of his service from 26th Dec 18 to 26th Sept 21
as acting Assistant Commissioner in Sr. orders.

Submitted
28/6/25

As Mr Ramachao, Repr, was given
as Ag Asst Comr, his claim to count
entire service from Dec/18 to Sept/21 for
purposes of increment, as in the case
of the other Ag Asst Comrs, has, it seems,
to be admitted into. To Pl Secy, inter
Compts, for favour of his remarks, if any.

13.8.25

In the case of Civilian Officers it has been settled that their acting or sub-pro-tem service prior to the date on which they were made permanent or provisionally permanent should not be considered for the purpose of fixing their pay according to the Re-organisation order. Strictly I think the same Rules should be adopted in the case of Non Civil Service officers as well. The permanent and provisionally permanent officers may contend that the treatment accorded to them is less favourable than that allowed to others who were only acting or sub-pro-tem. There is some force in this view but the difficulty is how far back we should go, if the previous acting and sub-pro-tem services should be reckoned for increments under the new order. The Non Civil Service officers

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No.

officers belong to several departments and the question of relative positions as between them is practically limited to the officers of each department and does not extend to the whole Non Civil Service cadre. If in any particular department an officer with only acting or sub-pro-tem service has been allowed a higher rate of pay than another who has received less because on the date of the Re-organisation Order he was a permanent or provisionally permanent officer, ~~it~~ Such a case would require reconsideration with a view to restore the natural position as between such officers. I am not sure if there are any cases of this kind at all. If there are any, we may see how they should be dealt with.

To be sent with Reports
hm
20/8/25

hm
28.8.25

Please put up the files in which similar claims have been admitted & cases in which they have been refused

A
8/9/25

The collection (C.S. 3-23) relating to the request of Mr. R.A. Narasimhan Iyengar and others is put up. Their claims were not sanctioned by G.O.C.

Further representations of Mr. S. Reuben & Mr. S.N. Sri Narasimhan Sastry are also placed in the file

Submd
8.9.25

Please put up a statement I ask for giving details showing the number of officers in different grades who would make up a unified scale. Please also put up the

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

File No. of 19

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial No.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat C. B. File No 3 of 1923

Serial No

There is no doubt that the decisions in Mr.Shama Rao's and Mr.Krishna Rao's case have caused a good deal of heartburning to officers who have failed to obtain the same treatment.

The following is a list of non-Civil Service officers whose cases seem to be on an ~~identical~~ nearly similar footing except for the reason that they were confirmed and their pay was fixed before the new principles were formulated.

Name.	Pay admissible under the ~~proposed~~ principle	Pay drawn under the existing orders.	extra cost.
(1). Mr. S. Reuben.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Acting from 4-3-16 to 1-7-18.			
S.P.T. 1-7-18 to 9-2-20.	375 from 1-7-23.	300 from 1-7-23.	
P.P. 9-2-20 to 22-9-24.	400 from 1-5-24.	325 from 1-11-23.	1,325.
Confirmed in the non C.S. cadre from 22-9-24.		350 from 1-11-24.	
Retired from service from 16th April 25.			
(2) Mr.S.N.Sri Narasimha Sastry.			
Rank & Status from 2-8-16 to 1-7-18.	375 from 1-7-23.	300 from 1-7-23.	
S.P.T. 1-7-18 to 9-2-20.	400 from 1-7-24.	325 from 1-11-23	835.
P.P. 9-2-20 to 26-8-24.			
Retired from service from 26th August 1924.			
(3) Mr. C.E.Rama Rao.			
S.P.T.(Registrar) from 20-12-18 to 27-9-21	325 from 1-7-23.	275 from 1-7-23.	
	350 from 1-3-24.	300 from 1-1-24.	1,600.
Increment allowed from 27-9-21.	375 from 1-3-25.	325 from 1-1-25.	
Confirmed from 14-5-23.	400 from 1-3-26.	350 from 1-1-26.	
(4). Mr.K.R.Krishnaswamy Iyengar.			
S.P.T.Assistant Comptroller from 3-3-15 to 14-6-18.	375 from 1-7-23.	350 from 1-7-23,	
	400 from 1-9-23.	375 from 1-11-23.	1,025.
	425 from 1-9-24.	400 from 1-11-24.	
Confirmed from 14th June 1918.	450 from 1-9-25.	425 from 1-11-25.	

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 0569—GPB—40,000—31-7-25

File No.

of 192

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No.

two of these officers viz., Mr.S.Reuben and Mr.S.N.Sri Narasimha Sastry are now retired. It may not be desirable to give them back pay but their pension may be fixed on the scale of pay they would have drawn if the new principles had been applied to them.

In the case of Mr.Rama Rao if his work is considered to be sufficiently efficient, he may be given the pay he would have got without any claim to arrears (Vide confidential reports put up).

As regards Mr.K.R.Krishnaswamiengar, his case stands on a different footing. He had been confirmed previous to the 1st July 1922, the date on which the re-organisation order came into force, unlike the 3 officers mentioned above and he had already drawn increments.

If the acting period is taken into account for purposes of increment in his case there will be a number of similar cases coming before Government. The Financial Secretary also stated that as the date on which Mr.Krishnaswamiengar was confirmed as Assistant Comptroller was previous to the date from which the new order was given effect to, in the case of non-Civil Service officers it is not possible to comply with Mr.Krishnaswamiengar's request. (Vide his note dt 27/8/1925 and 7/9/25 in the linked file).

Paras 8 & 12 of the notes.

may be considered at a meeting of Council if it is deemed that any special consideration is due in these cases.

31/10/25

3/11/25

Serial No

Hyderabad Affairs

I regret ref to my note 9/18.5. I think the question of Counting acting Service does not arise for consideration in the case of officers who were permanent or provisionally permanent on the date on which the reorganisation was brought into effect.

9.11.23

Devan

I am not prepd to reopen these Cases — especially for issuing pay. C.B. will seek Hyd's re-orgn

10 C.B

Two orders are submitted.

Mr. C.E. Raman Rao was confirmed as Asst. Secretary from 14th May 23. In G.O. dated 15th May 24, his pay was fixed at Rs 275 from 1.7.23 and Rs 300 from 1.1.24 by taking his acting service from 27th Sept 1921 into calculation for increments. It is presumed that the Devan's orders apply to his case also.

Mr. 11.11.25

App. F. III—2]

WD 0569—GPB—40,000—31-7-25

File No. of 192 (

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat

B. File No 3 of 1923

Serial No 70

Mr. S. Reuben + Mr. S. N. Sri Narasimha Sastry -
No. 1190-1 R. 14.11.25
States that Govt. see no reason to reconsider the orders already passed.

To Mr. C. E. Rama Rao, Registrar, H.R.S.
No. 1192 R 14.11.25
States that Govt. see no reason to revise the pay fixed for him in G.O. R 1.5.24

B

ab-pro-tem Assistant Commissioners and Non-Civilian Assistant

and Assistant Secretary.

antive on 1-7-23 hereafter.	Salary on 1-7-23.	Date of next increment & salary.	Pay on 22-9-24.	Date of next increment & pay.	Extra cost 1925-26.
Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs
300	375	1-5-24 Rs 400	400	Retired from 16-4-25.	1,325
	300.	1.11.23 Rs 325.	325	1.11.24 Rs 350.	
.300	375	1-7-24 Rs 400	Retired from 26-8-24.		835½
	300	1.11.23 Rs 325			
250	325	1-3-24 Rs 350	350	.-3-25 375	1,600
	275	1.1.24 Rs 300	300.	1.1.25 Rs 325. 1.1.26 Rs 350.	
		6×50 2×25 10×50	3×25 10×50 2×25 3×50		
350	375	1-9-23 Rs 400	425	1-9-24 450	1,025
	350	1.11.23 Rs 375	375	1.11.24 Rs 400. 1.11.25 Rs 425	

r. Reuben was acting as Asst. Com^r. on a salary less than 250 is not taken into account.

were or are drawing under the existing orders is shown in red ink.

Statement showing the increments admissible to acting and s

Comptrollers

Name.	Tenure.	Service prior to 1-7-22.	Two thirds of service in Col(2).	Total service, on 1-7-23.	Subs pay & th
		Y. m. d.	Y. m. d.	Y. m. d.	
1.Mr.S.Reuben.	Acting from 4-3-16 to 1-7-18. S.P.T. from 1-7-18 to 9-2-20. P.P. from 9-2-20 to 22-9-24. Confirmed in the Non-Civil Service cadre from 22-9-24.	6- 3-27	4- 2- 0	5- 2- 0	P.
2."S.N.Sri Narasimha Sastry.	Rank & Status from 2-8-16 to 1-7-18. S.P.T. from 1-7-18 to 9-2-20. P.P. from 9-2-20 to 26-8-24.	5-10-29	4- 0- 0	5- 0- 0	P.
3." C.E.Rama Rao.	S.P.T.(Registrar) from 20-12-18 to 27-9-21. Increment allowed in the non-Civil Service cadre from 27-9-21. Confirmed as Assistant Secretary from 14-5-23.	3- 6-12	2- 4- 0	3- 4- 0	
4." K.R.Krishnaswamy Iyengar.	Sub.prortem Assistant Comptroller from 3-3-15 to 14-6-18. Confirmed as Assistant Comptroller from 14-6-18.	7 -3-28	4-10- 0	5-10- 0	

Note Service from 15.10.15 to 4.3.16 when the two which the above officers

GB.562

From

S. N. Sri Narasimha Sastry, Esq.,
Retired Superintendent of Police
Basavangudi, Bangalore.

To

P. G. D'Souza, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 619/C.B.3-23 dated 5th September 1925 intimating the order of Government on my representation praying that my acting and Sub-protem service may be allowed to count for calculation of increments in the Revised Scale of pay of Assistant Commissioners, I beg to lay before the Government for their further consideration and orders the following facts in support of my representation.

1. My case which stands on a different footing from those of other Assistant Commissioners has been disposed of along with those of them who are still in service, who have already been benefitted by the Re-organisation Scheme and who are likely to be benefitted much more before their retirement.

2. I was retired from service after the Re-organisation Scheme was brought into force and before I had its benefits for which I was longing, qualifying myself in every way for promotion.

3. I was not granted any extension though I deserved it by the appreciation of my hard work by all officers under whom I served.

4. In

4. In consideration of the substantial work I did as Economic Superintendent, I had been given a special allowance of Rs.50/- and it was denied to me on my transfer to the Police Department and the recommendation made by the Inspector-General for its conversion as personal allowance or for its continuance was not approved of by the then Government.

5. Though my transfer to the Police Department reduced my emoluments considerably, I spared no pains in the discharge of my duties, as is evident from the recovery, at the risk of my life, of the Diamond Necklace worth Rs.25,000/- from the notorious gang of Bauries residing at the foot of Himalayas in the Muzaffar Nagar District of the United Provinces of Agra and Oudh, as can be seen from the file of reports I was submitting, while I was on special duty in that connection and from the complete cessation of commission of burglaries by Bauria gangs in the Mysore State after the recovery by me of that valuable property. Though the work I did in this connection was the first of its kind in the annals of Mysore Police administration, yet the Inspector-General's recommendation for the recognition of my service by substantial promotion and the award of Maharaja's Medal was not favourably considered by the then Government and yet I continued doing hard and honest work in managing as District Police Superintendent Bangalore, the Districts of Chitaldrug, Tumkur, Mysore and French Rocks as can be seen from the several reports submitted to Government by the Inspector-General on the detention by me of important cases of murders, decoities and burglaries

burglaries and finally from the Judgment of the Hon'ble the Chief Court in the Seringapatam Taluk Treasury defalcation case.

6. I am confident that these facts, if brought to the notice of Government, will not go without convincing them that my case deserves special treatment which must be different from those of my colleagues. I therefore beg that you will be so good as to place this representation for orders before Government with a hope that the Government will be graciously pleased to show me the same favour which they have shown to Mr. Shama Rao and other officers.

I beg to remain,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

S. N. Ramakrishna Lashkar

RETIRED SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE.

Bangalore,

8th September 1925.

101, 2nd road,,

Chamarajapet, Bangalore City.

7th October 1925.

To

The Chief Secretary,

to the Government of Mysore,

B A N G A L O R E.

CB 772

Sir,

I beg to state that I duly received the Endorsement No. 618/ C B-3-23 dated 5-9-25 informing me that Government regret that my request regading the acting and sub protem service put in by me being allowed to count for calculation of increments in the revised scale of pay of Assistant Commissioners as in the case of Mr. R. Shama Rao and certain other Officers of the non-civil cadre, cannot be granted. It came as a surprise to me and I feel that probably in the midst of a number of applications for the same consideration being shown to them, my representation has not met with the same careful examination as it deserved. And as no reasons why my request cannot be granted have been given, I once-more take the liberty ofpressing my claim for sympathetic re-consideration of the Government.

The facts of my representation have already been mentioned in detail in my previous application which must be in the File, and a copy of which is herein enclosed for ready reference, I beg to claim the same consideration as is shown to some other Officers as per Government order No. 2750-53/ C B 3-23-36 dated 19th June 1925, on the following grounds:-

(1) I was in service when Government order No. 17-31- CB 98-18-3 dated 3rd July 1923, re-improvement of the scale of pay of Assistant Commissioners etc. and Government Order No. 757-807-CB 210-23-1 of 22nd September 1924, issuing revised rules re-garding the Mysore Civil Service and creating a non-civil service

Cadre of Gazetted appointments for certain Departments were passed by the Government.

(2) It will be seen from the Government Order No. 1867/1918 CB 210-23-20 dated 6th February 1925 that I am placed in the non-civil service Cadre, as Mr. R. Shama Rao and others. Even when this Government order was passed, I was in service, as I was retired only from 16th April 1925. I thus stand on the same footing as Mr. R. Shama Rao.

(3) I was all along above Mr. R. Shama Rao, in rank, even as Acting and sub-protem Assistant Commissioner and was found fit for confirmation when his claims were over-looked.

For these reasons I feel that my request for acting and sub-protem service put in by me being allowed to count for calculation of increments in the Revised scale of pay of Assistant Commissioners as in the case of Mr. R. Shama Rao and others of the non-civil service Cadre, is just and reasonable and that it would be very hard and unfair to me , if my reasonable request is not treated atleast on a par with Mr. R. Shama Rao, standing as I am in a much better position than he. Government were pleased to show this consideration in the case of Officers who are still in service and have some years before them. I am retired and am making this request which I consider I am in justice and fairness deserving of being granted.

I pray therefore that you will be pleased to place this representation of mine before the Government for kind and sympathetic consideration.

I beg to remain,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

S Ahmed

Retired Assistant Commissioner

To

The Chief Secretary,

to the Government of Mysore

B a n g a l o r e.

Sir,

I beg to submit the following representation for the favourable consideration of Government.

I was appointed to act as Assistant Commissioner, 5th class in October 1915 and was posted to Kadur District as District superintendent of Police. On 1st July 1918, I was made sub-protem Assistant Commissioner and on 9th February 1920, I was confirmed as provisionally permanent Assistant Commissioner of the Vth class, in accordance with the principles governing the promotion of subordinate Officers to the Civil Service, vide para II clause (a) of the Civil Service rules. On 9th February 1923, I was promoted to the 4th class of Assistant Commissioners under the old scale on Rs. 300/-, from 1st November 1923 I got the pay of Rs 325/- according to the revised scale of pay sanctioned to Civil servants in July 1923. In fixing my pay at Rs. 325/- on 1-11-23, the period of my tenure as acting Assistant Commissioner and sub-protem Assistant Commissioner was not taken into account. The case of acting and subprotem Assistant Commissioner at the time was reserved for separate orders of Government.

On 1st November 1924, I was given another incrementof Rs. 25/- But before this increment was given to me, Government on 22nd September 1924 have passed orders reorganising the Cadre of Civil Service and fixing my place in the non-Civil service cadre.

I was retired on 16-4-1925 and subsequent to my retirement Government have passed orders fixing the starting salaries of acting and sub-protem Assistant Commissioners subsequently

confirmed in their order No. 2750-53/ C B-3-23-36 of 19th June 1925. In this order the Government have been graciously pleased to take into calculation the total acting service of these Officers upto the date of confirmation and extended to them the benefit of the application of Article 83, clause(II) of the Mysore Service Regulations and they have sanctioned such pay as other Officers would become entitled to on 1st July 1923 with effect from that date. I therefore beg to request that in my case also which stands on a better footing than those recently confirmed and those who still continue to be acting and sub-protem, the same consideration may be shown to my service from 15th October 1915 to 9-2-1920, the date when I was made provisionally permanent and arrears of pay from 1st July 1923 calculated as above upto the date of retirement, sanctioned to me.

I beg to add that it cannot have been the intention of Government that while I was all along above Mr. R. Shama Rao in rank, even in acting and sub-protem Assistant Commissioner, I was chosen for confirmation when his claims were overlooked, and when I have also been placed in the non-civil service Cadre like him, I should be getting less pay and thus be made to suffer pecuniarily. I therefore pray that my reasonable request to be treated atleast as a par with Mr. R. Shama Rao, standing as I am in a much better position than him, may be kindly granted.

I beg to remain,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

SD. S. Reuben

Retired Assistant Commissioner,

69, IV. Main Road, Chamarajapet,

Bangalore City.

1st July 1925.

"True Copy"

S Reuben

Retired Assistant Commissioner.

101, 2nd Road, Chamarajpet.
Bangalore City

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.

Diary No. of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the *191* .

Date of issue 14/11/25

Signed by Sir M. Venkatesa Ayyangar

Two orders

Section C.B

File No. 3-23

Serial No. 44

No. 1190-1

To 1) Mr. S. Reuben, Retired Asst Dr of Police

2) Mr. S.N. Sri Narasimha Sastri, Retired Distt. Superintt. of Police

Sir,

With reference to your representation dated 11th Oct 25 / 28th Sept 25 requesting further consideration of the orders communicated to you in my letter No. 618-9/C.B. 3-23 dt 5th Sept 25 in regard to the counting of the acting + Suspension service put in by you for calculation of increments in the revised scale of pay of Asst. Commrs, I am directed to state that Govt regret

over.

They see no reason to reconsider the orders already passed in the matter

Have etc.

A

Chief Secy.

13 Ca

Sent thro
12:11:25

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section C.B.

File No. 3-23

Serial No. 45

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

the *191*

End^v. No. 1192.

Date of issue.....14/11/25.....

To Mr. C.E. Rama Rao, B.A.,
Registrar, G.R.S.

Signed by..Diwan M. Venkatasubba Iyengar

With reference to his representation dated 12th inst. praying that he may be allowed to count his service as Sub-Registrar, Gen. & Rev. Sects. from 20th Dec^r 18 to 24th Sept^r 21, for increments in the revised scale of pay of Asst. Comm^rs. Mr. Rama Rao is informed that Govt. see no reason to revise the pay fixed for him in G.O. No. 3498/C.B. 3-23-23 & 1st May 1924.

Asst. Sec.
12/11/25.

Chief Secretary.
13/11

List of enclosures.

WD 1741—GPB—80,000—19